

Lecture 7:

①

Repetition: The subring $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal if
 $r \in R, a \in I \Rightarrow ra \in I$ and $ar \in I$

We define: $a \equiv b \pmod{I} \Leftrightarrow a - b \in I$
 $a + I = \{a + i; i \in I\}$ equiv. class w.r.t \equiv

We get the quotient ring R/I with operations
 $(a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b)+I, (a+I)(b+I) = (ab)+I.$

First isom. theorem: If $f: R \rightarrow S$ homom. and $\ker f = \{a \in R; f(a) = 0_S\}$
 then $R/\ker f \cong \text{im } f (= f(R))$

We also know that:

- $\mathbb{Z}_n (\cong \mathbb{Z}/(n))$ is an int. domain $\Leftrightarrow n$ prime field
- $\mathbb{F}[x]/(p(x))$ is an int. domain $\Leftrightarrow p(x)$ irreducible field

We want to find analogue of a prime in R :

Def: The ideal P of a commutative ring R is prime if $P \neq R$ and $ab \in P \Rightarrow a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Ex: In \mathbb{Z} : (n) prime ideal $\Leftrightarrow n$ prime $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ field
exercise

In $\mathbb{F}[x]$: $(p(x))$ prime ideal $\Leftrightarrow p(x)$ irred. $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{F}[x]/(p(x))$ field

\Leftarrow Since by assumption $I_{R/P} \neq 0_{R/P}$, it follows that ③
 $P \neq R$. Now
 $ab \in P \Rightarrow (a+P)(b+P) = ab+P = 0_{R+P}$
 $\Rightarrow a+P = 0_{R+P}$ or $b+P = 0_{R+P}$
 \uparrow
 R/P int. domain $\Rightarrow a \in P$ or $b \in P$. \square

What kind of an ideal \mathfrak{I} turns R/I into a field?

Def: The ideal M of the ring R is maximal if $M \neq R$ and an ideal J with $M \subseteq J \subseteq R \Rightarrow J = M$ or $J = R$.

Ex: In \mathbb{Z} : Assume p prime number and consider ideal J such that $(p) \subseteq J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.
 If $J \neq (p)$ then there exists $a \in J, a \notin (p)$
 $\Rightarrow p \nmid a \Rightarrow (a, p) = 1 \Rightarrow 1 = up + va$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 Furthermore $1 = up + va \in J$ since $p \in J$ and $a \in J$.
 But $1 \in J \Rightarrow J = \mathbb{Z}$.
 Conclusion: (p) is maximal ideal

Note: k not prime number $\Rightarrow k = mn$ with $m, n \neq \pm 1$
 $\Rightarrow (k) \subseteq (n) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with $(k) \neq (n)$, (Note that $n \in (n)$ but $n \notin (k)$) and $(n) \neq \mathbb{Z}$.
 Conclusion: (k) is not maximal ideal.

Theorem: R comm. ring with identity, and M ideal. Then M maximal $\Leftrightarrow R/M$ field.

Ex: In $\mathbb{Z}[x]$: $(x) = \{x f(x); f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\} = \frac{1}{2}$ pol. with constant term 0
 is a prime ideal: Assume
 $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots, g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots$
 ~~$f(x)g(x) \in (x) \Rightarrow a_0b_0 = 0 \Rightarrow$~~
 $\Rightarrow a_0 = 0$ or $b_0 = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) \in (x)$ or $g(x) \in (x)$.

But note that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is not a field!
First isom. theorem
 with ~~$p(a_0 + a_1x + \dots) = a_0$~~

However, it is an integral domain. \square
 In general, we have

Theorem: If R comm. ring w. identity and P ideal, then P prime $\Leftrightarrow R/P$ integral domain.

Proof: \Rightarrow We need to show

- $I_{R/P} \neq 0_{R/P}$
- R/P has no zero-divisors.

①: Since P prime we know that $P \neq R$. Thus $1_R \notin P$, since $1_R \in P$ would imply $P = R$.
 Now $1_R \notin P \Leftrightarrow 1_R + P \neq 0_{R+P}$

②: $(a+P)(b+P) = 0_{R+P} \Leftrightarrow ab+P = 0_{R+P}$
 $\Leftrightarrow ab \in P \Leftrightarrow a \in P$ or $b \in P \Leftrightarrow$
 \uparrow
 P prime $a+P = 0_{R+P}$ or $b+P = 0_{R+P}$

Proof: \Rightarrow As before, $I_{R/M} \neq 0_{R/M}$ since $M \neq R$. ④
 We want to show that every $a+M \neq 0_{R+M}$ has inverse.
 Construct the ideal (check!) $J = \{m+ra; r \in R, m \in M\}$.
 Clearly $M \subseteq J \subseteq R$, but $J \neq M$ since $a \in J, a \notin M$.
 M maximal $\Rightarrow J = R \Rightarrow m+ra = 1_R$ for some $m \in M, r \in R \Rightarrow$

$(r+M)(a+M) = ra+M = 1_R+M \Rightarrow (a+M)^{-1} = r+M.$

\Leftarrow As before, since $I_{R/M} \neq 0_{R/M}$ it follows that $M \neq R$.
 Assume \mathfrak{I} ideal such that $M \subseteq \mathfrak{I} \subseteq R$. If $\mathfrak{I} \neq M$
 there exists $a \in \mathfrak{I}$ but $a \notin M \Rightarrow a+M \neq 0_{R+M}$
 $\Rightarrow a+M$ has inverse $b+M$
 \uparrow
 R/M field $\Rightarrow (a+M)(b+M) = ab+M = 1_R+M$
 $\Rightarrow ab - 1_R = m \in M \Rightarrow 1_R = ab - m \in \mathfrak{I}$
 (note that $a \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $m \in M \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$) $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{I} = R$. \square

Ex: $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ not field $\Rightarrow (x)$ not maximal

Ex: $I = \frac{1}{2}$ pol. in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $2 \mid a_0$ ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $(x) \subseteq I$ but $(x) \neq I$.
 In Lecture 6 we saw that $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ field $\Rightarrow I$ maximal ideal.

Corollary: R comm. ring with identity and I ideal. Then I maximal $\Rightarrow I$ prime

Proof: I maximal $\Rightarrow R/I$ field $\Rightarrow R/I$ int. domain $\Rightarrow I$ prime. \square

Groups (Chapter 7):

Def (Group): A set $G \neq \emptyset$ together with operation \circ is called a group if

- ① $a \in G, b \in G \Rightarrow ab \in G$ (closure)
- ② $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ (associative)
- ③ there is an element $e \in G$ such that $a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a$ for all $a \in G$ (identity)
- ④ For each $a \in G$ there is $d \in G$ such that $a \cdot d = e$ and $d \cdot a = e$ (inverse)

Def (Abelian): A group G is called abelian (=commutative) if $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for all $a, b \in G$.

- Notes:
- the identity element e is unique
 - the inverse d in ④ is unique, and is written a^{-1}
 - by the order of G we mean the number of elements of G , written $|G|$ (can be infinite)
 - we can prove a cancellation law

$$\begin{cases} ab = ac \Rightarrow b = c \\ \text{or } ba = ca \end{cases}$$
 - often we just write ab instead of $a \cdot b$

- Study example on page 164-167 by yourself. ⑦
- D_n - the dihedral group of degree n
- The group of symmetries of a regular polygon with n sides

Theorem: Any ring is an abelian group with respect to addition.

Proof: Compare axioms for group with axioms for ring with respect to addition.

Note: Not true for multiplication (why?)

Theorem: Let R be a ring with identity. The set of all units in R is a group with respect to multiplication.

Proof: Exercise.

Corollary: The set of non-zero elements of a field is an abelian group under multiplication.

Theorem: In a group G we have:

- ① $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$
- ② $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$

Proof: Exercise

Ex: A permutation of a set T is a bijective $\textcircled{6}$ function $f: T \rightarrow T$. For $T = \{1, 2, 3\}$ we can describe f on the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ f(1) & f(2) & f(3) \end{pmatrix}$

Composition $f \circ g$: $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow f \circ g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
 $g \circ f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

We want to show that the set of all permutations, written S_3 , with operation \circ is a group:

- ① See example above $f, g \in S_3 \Rightarrow f \circ g \in S_3$
- ② Ass. follows from that composition of functions in general is associative
- ③ $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$
- ④ True. For example $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow f^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\Rightarrow S_3$ group. But not abelian; see above \square

Note: Order of group $|S_3| = 3! = 6$.

Def: The set of all permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is called the symmetric group on n symbols, and is written S_n . We have $|S_n| = n!$ (exercise)

Def: For $a \in G$ we define $\textcircled{8}$
 $a^n = a \cdot a \cdot a \cdot a \cdot \dots \cdot a$ (n factors)
 $a^{-n} = a^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot a^{-1}$ (n factors)
 $a^0 = e$

Note: If operation in G is written as addition, then a^n becomes $n \cdot a = a + a + a + \dots + a$

Def: Let $a \in G$. If $a^n = e$ for some $n \geq 1$, then a has finite order. The smallest $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n = e$ is called the order of a , written $|a| = n$.

Ex: $\{1, -1, i, -i\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a group under multiplication (check!) with identity $e = 1$.

Now

$$1^1 = 1, \text{ so } |1| = 1$$

$$(-1)^1 = -1, (-1)^2 = 1, \text{ so } |-1| = 2$$

$$i^1 = i, i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i, i^4 = 1, \text{ so } |i| = 4$$

similarly $|-i| = 4$

Ex: $G = \mathbb{Z}_6$ group under addition.

$$2 = 2, 2+2=4, 2+2+2=0 \Rightarrow |2|=3$$

\uparrow identity under addition

Exercise:

$$|0|=1, |1|=6, |3|=2, |4|=3, |5|=6$$

Theorem: G group, $a \in G$.

⑨

① If a has infinite order, then $i \neq j \Rightarrow a^i \neq a^j$

② If $|a| = n$, then $a^k = e \Leftrightarrow n | k$

③ $|a| = n$ and $n = t \cdot d$ ($d > 0$) $\Rightarrow |a^t| = d$

Proof: ① Assume the contrary, i.e. $a^i = a^j$ with $i > j$

$$\Rightarrow e = a^i \cdot (a^i)^{-1} = a^i \cdot (a^j)^{-1} = a^i \cdot a^{-j} = a^{i-j}$$

$\Rightarrow a$ has finite order. Contradiction!

② \Leftarrow $n | k \Rightarrow k = tn \Rightarrow a^k = a^{tn} = (a^n)^t = e^t = e$

\Rightarrow Div. alg. $k = nq + r$ with $r < n$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow e = a^k &= a^{nq+r} = (a^n)^q \cdot a^r = e^q \cdot a^r \\ &= e \cdot a^r = a^r \end{aligned}$$

$r > 0$ would contradict n smallest such that $a^n = e$.

We must have $r = 0 \Rightarrow k = nq \Rightarrow n | k$.

③ $(a^t)^d = a^{td} = a^n = e$. We want to show

d smallest: $e = (a^t)^k = a^{tk} \stackrel{②}{\Rightarrow}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} n | tk \\ n = td \end{cases} \Rightarrow d | k \Rightarrow d \leq k. \quad \square$$

